

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,324	12/05/2003	Jeffrey Stull	DSC-03009	1323	
31661	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER		
PROTON ENERGY SYSTEM			JACKSON,	JACKSON, ANDRE K	
10 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE WALLINGFORD, CT 06492			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	·	2856		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/707,324	STULL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	André K. Jackson ears on the cover sheet with the c	2856 orrespondence address				
	Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	<u>igust 2005</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,324

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III claims 15-19 in the reply filed on 08/26/05 is acknowledged.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The prior art shown in Figure 1A in view of Bryan et al.

Regarding claim 15, The prior art shown in figure 1A an electrochemical cell stack (18); a phase separator fluidly coupled to the electro-chemical stack for receiving a water gas mixture (24). The prior art disclosed in figure 1A does not disclose a vent conduit fluidly connected and extending vertically from the top of said phase separator and a combustible gas sensor coupled to the vent conduit. However, Bryan et

Application/Control Number: 10/707,324

Art Unit: 2856

al. disclose "Apparatus and method for venting hydrogen from an electrolytic cell" that it is known to use a vent (21) conduit fluidly connected and extending vertically from the top of said phase separator and a combustible gas sensor coupled to the vent conduit (Column 6, lines 10-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art shown in figure 1A to include a vent conduit fluidly connected and extending vertically from the top of the phase separator and a combustible gas sensor coupled to the vent conduit. By adding this feature the apparatus would be able to determine whether the concentration of a gas did not exceed a particular value.

Page 3

Regarding claim 16, neither The prior art shown in figure 1A nor

Bryan et al. disclose where the vent conduit is metallic. However, it would
be certainly within the purview of the skilled artisan to produce the vent
with any type of material according to the specifications of the invention.

Regarding claim 17, neither The prior art shown in figure 1A nor Bryan et al. disclose where the combustible gas sensor is electrically grounded to the vent conduit. However, depending on the type of sensor used it would have to be grounded to ensure the best possible signal.

4. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to André K. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2196. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 16, 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800